### **PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

## **PCT**

REC'D	23	MAR	2004
WIPO			PCT

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

(Rationalised Report according to the Notice of the President of the EPO published in the OJ11/2001)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International					
JAB1712-PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date (day)	month year)	Priority date (day month year)				
PCT/EP03/50276	30/06/2003		02/07/2002				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or	national classification and IPC						
C07H17/04							
Applicant							
JANSSEN PHARMACEUTICA N.	V. et al.	<del> </del>	·				
This international preliminary example 1.	mination report has been prepar	red by this Intern	ational Preliminary Evamining				
<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of2 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
(see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative instructions under the PC1).							
These annexes consists of a total of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I X Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III $\overline{X}$ Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
IV Lack of unity of invention							
$V\left[X ight]$ Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement							
VI Certain documents cite	ed.						
VII Certain defects in the i	international application						
VIII Certain observations o	on the international application						
Date of submission of the demand	Dat	e of completion of	of this report				
05/12/2003	17/03/200		:004				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/	Anti	horized officer	2004 Books Patentame.				
European Patent Office		SCHOPPE D					
D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523	656 epmu d						
Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Tel.	. (+49-89) 2399 2	.828				
Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) P20476	(October 2002)		Sedo ne solito . Solito				



# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

#### I. Basis of the report

The basis of this international preliminary examination is the application as originally filed.

## III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The question of whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step, or to be industrially applicable has not been the subject of the international preliminary examination in respect of the claims which have not been searched (Article 17(2)(a) or (3) and Rule 66.1(e) PCT); see also international search report).

## V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability

To the extent that the international preliminary examination has been carried out (see item III above), the following is pointed out:

In light of the documents cited in the international search report, it is considered that the invention as defined in at least some of the claims, which have been the subject of an international search report, does not appear to meet the criteria mentioned in Article 33(1) PCT, i.e. does not appear to be novel and/or to involve an inventive step (see international search report, in particular the documents cited X and/or Y and corresponding claim references).